Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/565,183	VERMEIJ, PAUL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>S. Devi (USPTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) Attorney William Blackstone.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 April 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Applicant's amendment filed 02/02/2010.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: All of record including claims 16 and 24		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Gee Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _j	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable and non-allowable subject matters were discussed. The need to cancel claims 16 and 24 and the need to delete the term 'said' from the version of the abstract filed 12/01/09 were indicated. Attorney Blackstone authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

May, 2010